

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,042	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Fiona T. Powers	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Fiona T. Powers. (3) _____.

(2) Luke Kilyk. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

22

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Kilyk to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if claim 22 were amended by inserting after "receptors" -to treat glaucoma or to control normal or elevated intraocular pressure-. The amendment is necessary to avoid a rejection of the claim under 35 U.S.C. 112 1st paragraph. It was agreed that claim 22 would be amended as discussed supra by examiner's amendment..